1	Original Research Paper
2 3 4	PERFORMANCE OF OKRA (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> (L) Moench) UNDER VARIOUS APPLICATION OF PESTICIDES AND FERTILIZERS IN OXIC PALEUSTALF
5 6	¹ Senjobi, B. A.; ¹ Adepiti, A. O.; ¹ Adigun, M. O. and ² Senjobi, C. T.
7 8 9 10	¹ Department of Soil Science and Farm Mechanization, Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago-Iwoye ² Department of Biology, Tai Solarin College of Education, Ogun State
10	ABSTRACT
12	This study was conducted to determine the performance of okra, (Abelmoschus
13	esculentus (L) Moench) under various application of pesticides and fertilizer in Oxic
14	Paleustalf.
15	A field experiment was carried out to examine the effect of the combination of
16	varying levels of neem (100%, 75% and 50% concentration), cypermethrin (350mls and
17	250mls), poultry manure (6000kg and 8000kg) and NPK fertilizer (112kg and 83kg) on
18	the growth, yield and yield component of okra. The experimental design was a
19	randomized complete block design with three replicates.
20	The results show that the application of the various pesticides and fertilizer have
21	significant effect P< 0.05 on the performance of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus). The
22	combined application of 100% neem, 350 mls/ha cypermethrin, 8000 kg/ha poultry
23	manure and 112 kg/ha NPK fertilizer reduced pest population compared to the control
24	plot.
25	The combination of 50% neem, 350 mls/ha cypermethrin, 6000 kg/ha poultry
26	manure and 112 kg/ha NPK fertilizer produced the best yield in the numbers and weight
27	of okra fruits.
28	It is concluded that the Combined application of pesticides and fertilizer resulted
29	in the control of pest population and significantly $P < 0.05$ increased the soil fertility and
30	yield of okra planted on Oxic Paleustalf.
31	
32	Keyword: Pesticides, fertilizer, yield and yield components, and okra.
33 34 35 36	Correspondence Author: Dr. B. A. Senjobi, Department of Soil Science and Farm Mechanization, Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago-Iwoye. <i>E-mail:</i> bolasenjobi@yahoo.com

37 INTRODUCTION

Okra {*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L) Moench} is one of the home garden vegetables popularly grown and consumed in the tropical countries (Greensill, 1976, Fayemi, 1999). It has a great demand because it forms an essential part of human diet. It is grown mainly for its young tender fruits. However, its immature leaves are sometimes utilized for soup making to thicken and add flavour to the soup (Fayemi, 1999).

Nevertheless, despite the great demand for okra due to its uses and importance, its
production is being hampered by some major pests and diseases such as Fleabeetles
(*Podarica species*); cotton stainer (*Dysdercus superstitus*); white fly (*Bermisia tabaci*);
and green stink bug (*Nezera viridula*) among others (Libby, 1968, Benson, 2004).
Observation at the National Horticultural Research Institute Farm revealed that the flea
beetles are the most common and injurious insect pest of okra (Ogunlana *et al.*, 1982).

49 However, in order to combat the problems of pests and diseases which hinder the 50 quality and optimum yield of okra, farmers have resulted to the use of pesticides as means 51 of controlling the pests and their damaging effects. This in turn increases the yield of the 52 crop and hence enhances the overall productivity. Various pesticides of both organic and synthetic origin have been widely adopted by the farmers in times past. Nonetheless, the 53 54 synthetic pesticides are known to have caused more damage to the environment due to 55 their undecomposed residuals which may be persistent and lead to adverse effect on non-56 target organisms and serious pollution of the ecosystem. They could also cause 57 atmospheric pollution and health hazards to farmers. (Giller et al., 1989).

58 Therefore, there arises the need to research into organic pesticides like Neem extracts, scent leaf extracts which are more environmental friendly, since they are 59 biodegradable and less toxic to human. They are also readily available and cheap unlike 60 the synthetic pesticides. Although researchers in times past have done few works on 61 discovery of botanicals but little is known about the most effective botanicals and their 62 63 recommended rates. Also, there is little or dearth information about possible integration of both the synthetic and organic pesticides in order to curb the damaging effects of pests 64 65 and diseases. Hence the research work is aimed at proffering solutions to the problems stated. 66

Besides the pests challenges of okra, poor soil nutrients status constitute another
set back for commercial optimum production of okra and other vegetables in Nigeria,
(Kroll, 1997). The intensive cultivation of available land with little or no fertility

management has been one of the major factors contributing to the decline in soil nutrients
vis-à-vis the conservation balances.

This eventually poses great difficulty to increased productivity to meet the food
requirements of a rapidly growing population thereby leading to food insecurity (Senjobi,
2007).

In view of the above, mineral fertilizers and organic fertilizers (Ammonium sulphate, Urea, NPK 15:15:15, poultry manure, compost) have been widely used by farmers to supplement the soil nutrient deficiencies in order to increase the yield of grown vegetables. More so, with the increasing demand for food crops by the geometrically growing population in Nigeria, there exists need for adequate fertilization or manuring of the land for optimum growth and yield of planted crops.

Meanwhile, despite the fast nutrient releasing potential of mineral fertilizers which meet the immediate needs of crops, yet they are scarce and expensive coupled with their high pollution effects on the soil and its environments. Globally now, efforts have been made by researchers in finding possible shift from mineral fertilizers to organic fertilizers which are cheaper, more readily available to farmers and which could maintain soil physical and chemical properties without pollution effect.

Though several works have been done and a lot more in progress to discover the appropriate fertilizer for okra production very little is known about the integration of organic and mineral fertilizers in okra.

Hence this study aims at determining the most effective recommended rates of
pesticides and appropriate fertilizers that will alleviate the incidence of okra pests, and to
increase soil fertility and yield of okra.

93 The major objectives of this study are:

- To determine the effect of pesticides and fertilizers on the performance and
 growth of okra.
- 96 2. To determine the appropriate pesticides and fertilizers for the optimal performance97 of okra and improved soil nutrients' status and
- 98 3. To come up with the critical level of pesticides and fertilizers required for99 remarkable production of okra.

100

101

102 MATERIALS AND METHODS

103

104 Description of the Study Area

105 The study area is located within the College of Agricultural Sciences of the 106 Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ayetoro, Yewa North, Ogun State in Nigeria. Ayetoro is 107 located on 35km Northwest of Abeokuta or. Latitude 7°12¹N and Longitude 3°0¹E.The 108 study area is located in a subtropical region with an average annual rainfall of 1250mm 109 and a mean temperature of 26⁰C. The onset and end of rains in this area have been mainly 110 governed by the position of Inter Tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ). The Relative 111 Humidity of Ayetoro is generally high.

The study area lies within the derived guinea savannah zone of Southwestern Nigeria. There were some evidences that this area was formally humid tropical forest with tall trees and green leaves throughout the year (Ayinde, 1983). However, man's daily interference has translated all the trees to an area colonized with grasses and savannah trees and shrubs.

117 The soil consists of a deeply weathered layer of sedimentary rocks consisting of 118 false bedded sand stones which underlined the area. It lies within the ferralitic zone. 119 Ferralitic soils are old deep highly weathered red soil (oxisols) of humid tropics, strongly 120 leached, highly deficient in weatherable mineral resources. The clay contents are of the 121 kaolinitic type with low water and nutrient holding capacity. The land was originally 122 fallowed for a long time before its cultivated for this experimental research work.

123

124 Methodology of Investigation

The land area was cleared, ploughed and harrowed with a tractor. Composite surface soil samples were randomly collected for determination of physical and chemical properties before planting. The land area of about 23.5m x 23m was mapped out for the experiment. Seeds of Jokoso variety of okra was obtained and sown at a plant spacing of 60cm between rows and 30cm between individual plants with 3 stands per stand row and 3 rows per plot. The seeds were tested for viability before sowing.

The experimental design was a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three (3) replicates. Each plot had a dimension of 1.2cm x 60cm, separated by 2m from each other. The synthetic insecticide was cypermethrin and plant extract was Neem plant extracts; the mineral fertilizer was NPK and poultry manure as the organic fertilizer. The factorial combination of the treatments is 3x2x2x2 and one control. Treatment commenced at about 4 weeks after germination. The spraying exercise was carried out at two weeks interval up to the flowering stage. The mineral fertilizer was applied at four weeks after planting while poultry manure was applied two weeks before sowing.Insecticides were applied with the aid of calibrated hand sprayer.

The procedure for Neem leaf (*Azadirachta indica*) extraction was adopted from method of Omoloye *et al* (2002), the various weights of the leaves were soaked in 1 litre of water for 48 hours. Later, the extracts was filtered and applied immediately with hand sprayer.

The following data were collected through the period of the experiment which was a period of 60 – 80 days. Morphological properties such as: plant height, leaf area per plant, number of leaves per plant, number of damaged leaves per plant, pest population at time of visit per plant, number of fruits per plot, weight of fruit per plot were collected on weekly basis.

Soil samples were also collected after the final harvesting for chemical and physical analyses according to AVAC (1990). The data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means were separated by Duncan multiple range test P < 0.05.

153

154 **RESULTS**

The result on Table 1 shows that the pH range of the soil is 5.3 - 5.9. The C.E.C of the soil also ranges between 2.26 - 2.96. The percentage of sand has a higher value compared to the percentage clay and silt component of the soil.

The result on Table 2 shows that the pH of the soil is slightly acidic ranging from 5.3 - 5.75. There was a slight variation in the pH level after the experiment.

160 Comparing this with pH range of 5.4 - 5.9 in Table 1, there is a slight decrease in 161 pH. There was also a significant increase in the nitrogen content of the soil after the 162 experiment. An appreciable increase in the level of exchangeable cations was equally 163 observed except in the concentration of sodium ion which reduced. The result also 164 showed that there is an increase in the cation exchange capacity of the soil, organic matter 165 content of the soil and organic carbon content of the soil. The percentage clay and silt 166 increased while the percentage sand content decreased at the end of the experiment.

167 The result on Table 3 shows that the combination of varying levels of Neem, 168 Cypermethrin, Poultry manure and NPK Fertilizer has significant effect P < 0.05 on the 169 plant height of okra. The combination of 50% neem concentration, 0.025 mls 170 cypermethrin, 400g poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer (N₃C₂P₁Z₂) has the tallest 171 plant height while the combination of 75% neem concentration, 0.018 mls cypermethrin,

400g poultry manure and 6g N P K Fertilizer ($N_2C_1P_1Z_1$) has the shortest plant height.

The result on Table 4 shows that there is a significant difference between the leaf area and the treatments (P < 0.05). There is no significant difference within the treatments. The combination of 100% neem concentration, 0.025 mls cypermethrin, 400g poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer (N₁C₂P₁Z₂) has the smallest leaf area while the combination of 100% neem concentration, 0.025 mls cypermethrin, 900 g poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer (N₁C₂P₂Z₂) has the largest leaf area.

The result on Table 5 shows that there is a significant difference between the number of leaves and the treatments (P < 0.05). There is no significant difference within the treatments. The combination of 100% neem concentration, 0.025 mls cypermethrin, 400g poultry manure and 6 g N P K Fertilizer (N₁C₂P₁Z₁) gave the highest number of leaves while the combination of 75% neem concentration, 0.018 mls cypermethrin, 600g poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer (N₃C₁P₂Z₂) gave the lowest number of leaves.

The result on Table 6 shows that there is a significant difference between the number of leaves and the treatments(P < 0.05). There is no significant difference within the treatments. The combination of 100% neem concentration, 0.025 mls cypermethrin, 400g poultry manure and 6 g N P K Fertilizer ($N_1C_2P_1Z_1$) has the highest number of damaged leaves while the combination of 100% neem concentration, 0.018 mls cypermethrin, 600g poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer ($N_1C_1P_2Z_2$) has the lowest number of damaged leaves.

The result on Table 7 shows that there is a significant difference between the number of leaves and the treatments(P < 0.05). There is no significant difference within the treatments. The control ($N_0C_0P_0Z_0$) has the highest pest population while the combination of 75% neem concentration, 0.018 mls cypermethrin, 400g poultry manure and 6 g N P K Fertilizer ($N_2C_1P_1Z_1$) and The combination of 100% neem concentration, 0.025 mls cypermethrin, 600g poultry manure and 6 g N P K Fertilizer ($N_1C_2P_2Z_1$) has the lowest pest population.

The result on Table 8 shows that there is a significant difference between the number of leaves and the treatments P < 0.05. There is no significant difference within the treatments. The combination of 75% neem concentration, 0.025 mls cypermethrin, 400g poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer (N₂C₂P₁Z₂) has the highest number of 203 fruits while the combination of 50% neem concentration, 0.018 mls cypermethrin, 600g 204 poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer ($N_3C_1P_2Z_2$) has the lowest number of fruits. 205 The result on Table 9 shows that there is a significant difference between the 206 weight of fruits and the treatments P < 0.05. There is no significant difference within the 207 treatments. The combination of 75% neem concentration, 0.025 mls cypermethrin, 400g 208 poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer $(N_2C_2P_1Z_2)$ has the highest weight of fruits 209 while The combination of 50% neem concentration, 0.018 mls cypermethrin, 600g 210 poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer $(N_3C_1P_2Z_2)$ has the smallest weight of fruits.

211

212 **DISCUSSION**

213 The application of various pesticides and fertilizers produced significant effect on 214 the performance of okra when compared with the control treatment. All the parameters 215 taken were positively influenced by the application of the pesticides and fertilizers. The 216 plant height of okra increased in plants treated with the combination of 50% neem 217 concentration, 350 mls/ha of cypermethrin, 6000 kg/ha of poultry manure and 112 kg/ha 218 of NPK fertilizers were the tallest plants in the combined application. In the sole 219 application of poultry manure, NPK fertilizer, neem and cypermethrin, poultry manure 220 applied at the rate of 8000 ka/ha gave the shortest plant while the application of neem at 221 50 % concentration gave the tallest plant. This may be due to the presence of possible 222 growth hormones in the appropriate amount in neem (Ogunlana, 1995). This difference in heights of plants being treated with the combination of neem, cypermethrin, poultry 223 224 manure and NPK fertilizer may also be attributed to the availability of the plants' 225 nutrients in absorbable forms and at the required time as supplied by the poultry manure 226 and NPK fertilizers (Singh et al 2004).

Other growth parameters like the leaf area and number of leaves appreciably increased in most of the combined application of Neem, Cypermethrin, Poultry manure, NPK fertilizer than the control. This can also be due to the efficacy of the combination of the growth increasing characteristics of these pesticides and fertilizers. There was neither phytotoxicity nor loss of coloration in leaves of okra as against the observation of Cobbinah and Osa-Owusu (1988) when okra was sprayed with 10% and 20% methanolic extract of neem.

Though there was an a increase in the number of damaged leaves per time, the plants sprayed with 100 % neem, 250 mls/ha of cypermethrin, 8000 kg/ha of poultry manure and 112 kg/ha of NPK fertilizers have the least numbers of damaged leaves.
There was significant difference between the control and the treated plots in the number
of leaves damaged. This could be attributed to efficacy of the combined treatment due to
the complementary action of the pesticides applied.

The pest population was highest in the control plot. Application of cypermethrin at 350 mls/ha has the lowest pest population. This is due to the immediate killing of thick pests as it comes in contact with the okra leaves and its persistence. Application of 100 % neem, 350 mls/ha cypermethrin, 8000 kg/ha poultry manure and 112 kg /ha of NPK fertilizer also reduced pest population. Since this is more environmental friendly it can be used to control pest population of okra.

The yield of okra both in the number of fruits and weights increased in an appreciable pattern. 50% neem, 350 mls/ha cypermethrin, 6000 kg/ha poultry manure and 112 kg/ha of NPK fertilizer produced the highest fruits numbers and weights. This can be due to the optimum availability of the nutrients to the crops.

Adequate nutrients availability had been indicated to improve crop growth and yield parameter. For instance, it has been reported that, when N supply is not limiting, dry matter production, assimilate partitioning as well as organic compounds production (Protein) would not be disturbed. However, a shortage in any of the nutrient requirements cause pronounced effect in the physiological processes in the crops (Akanbi *et al* 2000). This explains the general higher performance of the crops resulting from the application of both poultry manure and NPK fertilizer.

There was an increase in the soil fertility at the end of the experiment. The soil acidity was reduced tending towards neutrality. There was also an increase in the level of available P in the soil. P is very essential in cellular processes being a component of RNA and DNA. It also aids cell division and fast growth and can be found mainly in large quantities in young plants. This gives the reason for higher values in morphological properties like leaf area, leaf number of okra plants treated with both poultry manure and NPK fertilizer.

The significant increase in cation exchange capacity (CEC) of the soil, exchangeable cations and increase in % clay and % silt after the experiment indicates that apart from supplying nutrients to the soil and plants, the soil amendments also improved the soil texture and stability (Akanbi, 2006). In summary, viewing the yield and growth parameters, the crop treated with the combination of 50 % concentration Neem, 350 mls/ha cypermethrin,6000 kg/ha poultry manure and 112 kg/ha NPK fertilizer may produce the optimum yield of okra.

271 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Cultivation of okra has been hampered in recent times by pest and soil infertility. The use of synthetic pesticides has been discouraged by environmental scientists, hence farmers have resulted to low production of this crop. Combination of the organic pesticides and synthetic pesticide will help reduce the toxicity of the synthetic pesticide while still taking advantage of their fast action. Also, the integrated form of soil amendment will improve the soil structure and texture as conditioned by the organic amendment and supply nutrients rapidly to crops as observed in inorganic fertilizers.

I will recommend that more research work be carried out on the extracts of plants to be used as possible alternative to the synthetic pesticide. The critical level for neem and the other plant extracts for optimum yield of okra should be studied. The overall effect of this on the soil should also be critically studied.

- 283
- 284

285 **REFERENCES**

- AVAC (1990): Official Method of Analysis (15th Ed) Washington DC Association of
 official Analytical Chemistry.
- Adenawoola, A. R.(2005): Residual Effects Of Poultry Manure And NPK Fertilizer
 Residues On Soil Nutrient And Performance Of Jute (*Corchorus olitorous* L.).
 Nigeria Journal Of Soil Science. Vol.15 Pp. 133-135.
- Adhikary, S. (1984) : Result of field trials to control common insect pests of okra,
 Abelmoschus esculentus L in Togo by application of crude methanolic extracts of
 leaves and seed kennels of the Neem tree *Azadirachta indica*. Z-ang. ent. 98 (4)
 327 331.
- Agyenim S.B, Zickermann J. and Kornahrens M. (2006) : Poultry Manure Effect On
 Growth And Yield Of Maize. West Africa Journal Of Applied Ecology.
 (WAJAE). Vol 9. Pp. 1-11.
- Akanbi, W.B, Oyediran G.O, Olaniran A.O, Adeyeye S.A, Adekande M.O. and Adediran
 J.A. (2006): Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers and their combination on
 growth, nutrient uptake and shoot yield of *Celosia argentea*(L). Science Focus
 Volume 2 (1) 2006, 84-90.
- Akande, M.O, Adediran, J.A and Oluwatoyinbo, F.I, (2005) : Effects Of Rock Phosphate
 Amended With Poultry Manure On Soil Available P And Yield Of Maize And
 Cowpea. Institute Of Agricultural Research And Training, Obafemi Awolowo
 University. African Journal Of Biotechnology. Vol. 44 (5) Pp. 444-448.
- Alasiri, K.O. (2002) : Effect of combined use of poultry manure and NPK fertilizer on
 seed yield of okra. Horticultural Society of Nigeria. 20th Annual Conference/Silver
 Jubilee, Pg. 9. Held at National Horticultural research Institute, Ibadan.
- Ayoade, A.O. (1976) : "Measures of controlling pest of horticultural crops in Southern
 Nigeria" Moore Plantation Bulletin No. 2 pg 100.
- Ayoola, O.T, and Adeniyan O.N. (2006) : Influence of Poultry Manure and NPK
 Fertilizer on Yield and Yield Components of Crops Under Different Cropping
 Systems in South West Nigeria.
- Benson, G.A. (2004) : Effect of three synthetic pyrethnoids on okra flea beetle
 (*Podagrica sjodsteti* and *Podagrica uniformis* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae).
 Olabisi Onabanjo University, College of Agricultural Sciences, Ayetoro, Ogun
 State.
- 318 Capinera, J.L. (2001): Handbook of Vegetable Pests. Academic Press, New York, NY.

- Cobbina, J.R and Osei-Owusu, K. (1988) : The effect of neem seed extracts on insect pest
 of egg plant, okra and cowpea. *Insect Science and its Application* 9 (5) 601-607.
- Delvin, J. and Yee. P,(2002): Trade Logistics In Developing Countries: The Case Of The
 Middle East And North Africa. P.445.
- Fayemi, P.O (1999) : Nigerian vegetables, Heinemann Educational Books Nigeria PLC
 Pg 13- 17.
- Franklin, W. M (1982): Okra, Potential Multipurpose Crop For The Temperate Zones
 And Tropics. Economic Botany 36:340-345.
- Frank, J. Dainello (2002) : (Edited). Vegetable Production and Marketing News,
 Extension Horticulture, Texas Cooperative Extension, The Texas A & M
 University System, College Station, Texas.
- Giller, K.E.,McGrath, S.P. and Hirsch, P.R. (1989) : Absence of nitrogen fixation in
 clover grown on soil subject to long term contamination with heavy metals is due
 to survival of only ineffective Rhizobium. Soil biology and biochemistry 21:841848.
- Greensil, T.M (1976): Growing better vegetables: A Guide for Tropical Gardeners,
 published by Evans Brother limited Montague house, Russell Square, London
 W.C.
- Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS),(2006) : National Products of Insect
 Pest Management, Department of Entomology and Nematology, University of
 Florida.
- 340 Kroll, R (1997) : Market Gardening, Macmillian Education London Basingstoke.
- Libby, J.L.(1968) : Insect pests of Nigeria crops. University of Wisconsin, College of
 Agricultural Research, Life Sciences. Vol. 17; 150-154.
- Li, Y.C., H.H. Bryan, W. Klassen, M. Lamberts and T. Olczyk. (2002): Okra Production
 in Miami-Dade County, Florida. Horticultural Sciences Department document
 HS857. Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural
 Sciences, University of Florida. Available: http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/TR009.
- Lombin, L.G, Adepetu, J.A, Ayotade, A.K. (1991) : Organic Fertilizer in the Nigerian
 Agriculture: Present and future F.P.D.D. Abuja. Pp 146-162.
- 349 Milike, M.S. and Feniger, S. (1996) : Okra Greens and Corn Sauté- Food Network.com.
- 350 National Research Council (NRC), (2006): Okra, Lost Crops of Africa: Vol.2: Vegetables.

- Obi, C.O, Nnabude, P.C and Omoba, E. (2005): *Effects of kitchen waste compost and tillage on soil chemical properties in Nigeria Journal of soil Science*. 15 (2) pg 69
 -71.
- Odeleye, F.O, Odeleye, M.O, Dada O.A. and Olaleye, A.O.(2005): The Response of
 Okra to Varying Levels of Poultry Manure and Plant Population Density Under
 Sole Cropping.
- Ogunlana, O.O., Owosina and Osai, F.O. (1982): Chemical control of flea beetles
 (*Podagrica Spp.*) on Okra. The 25th Annual Conference of the Horticultural
 Society of Nigeria, Zaria. Pg. 1-5.
- Ogunlana, J.O (1995) : Effect of neem plant extract on insect pest control on performance
 of okra. Unpublished project of Department Of Crop Production, Olabisi

362 Onabanjo University.

- Omoloye, A.A., Joda, A.O., Obadofin, A.A., Tobih, F.O., Bankole, O.I. and Adegbite, A.
 (2002) : Seed extracts of *Azadirachita indica* and *Jatropha gossypifolia* as
 alternative to coniro sucking bug of rain fed lowland rice in south west Nigeria.
 Nigeria Journal of Ecology. 2: 100- 110.
- Praveen P.M, Dhandapani N. (2002) : Eco-Friendly Management Of Pests Of Okra
 (*Abelmoschus esculentus* {L} Moench). Journal Of Vegetable Crop Production,
 Vol. 7 (2) Pp. 3-11.
- Senjobi, B.A (2007): Comparative Assessment of the effects of land use and land type on
 soil degradation and agricultural productivity in Ogun State Nigeria. Unpublished
 PhD Thesis department of Agronomy, University of Ibadan.
- 373 Simmone, E.H., G.J. Maynard, D.N. Hochmuth, C.S. Vavrina, W.M. Stall, T.A. Kucharek, S.E. Webb. (2004): Okra Production in Florida. Horticultural Sciences 374 Department document HS729. Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of 375 Food and 376 Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. Available: 377 http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/CV128
- Singh, T.R, Singh, S.K, Singh, M.P. and Srivastava, B.K (2004) : Effect Of Integrated
 Nutrient Management On Crop Nutrient Uptake And Yield Under Okra-PeaTomato Cropping System In a Mollisol. Indian Journal Of Horticulture, Vol. 61.
 No. 4 Pp.312-314.

Steiner, K. G. (1991): Overcoming Soil Fertility Constraints to Crop Production in West Africa: Impact of Traditional and Improved Cropping Systems on Soil Fertility.

Titiloye, E.O. (1982): The Chemical Composition of Different Sources of Organic
Waste and Their Effects on Growth and Yield of Maize (Zea Mays L.) Ph. D
Thesis, University of Ibadan. Nigeria. P. 316.

387	Table 1: Pre	- Physica	al and C	Chemical	Analysis	of The S	Soil									
388			<	Cr	nol/kg	>										
389	Sample	pН	Na	k	Ca	Mg	H^{+}	CEC	C Av.P	Zn	%	%	%	%	%	%
390	Description	(<u>H₂O) C1</u>	mol/kg	Cmol/kg	Cmol/kg	Cmol/k	g		Mg/kg	Mg/kg	<u>0.C</u>	O.M	N	Sand	Clay	Silt
391	0-15cm A	0.56	0.24	0.96	0.88	0.11	2.75	5.80	6.90	4.90	0.79	1.36	0.079	81.00	10.90	09.10
392	15-30 cm A	0.48	0.19	1.08	0.90	0.13	2.78	5.40	4.60	6.00	0.63	1.08	0.063	70.00	21.00	09.00
393	0-15 cm B	0.63	0.26	0.92	0.82	0.10	2.73	5.90	7.20	6.10	0.91	1.57	0.091	78.40	10.00	11.60
394	15-30 cm B	0.43	0.16	0.90	0.80	0.14	2.43	5.50	5.00	7.40	0.68	1.17	0.068	69.60	22.10	08.30
395	0-15 cm C	0.60	0.25	1.10	0.90	0.13	2.93	5.60	8.10	6.10	0.89	1.53	0.089	73.00	14.00	13.00
396	15-30 cm C	0.43	0.16	0.83	0.73	0.15	2.30	5.30	5.30	8.20	0.70	1.20	0.070	70.00	18.00	12.00
397	0-15 cm D	0.56	0.22	0.89	0.80	0.14	2.61	5.50	6.80	5.90	0.76	1.31	0.076	80.00	09.00	11.00
398	15-30 cm D	0.49	0.18	0.76	0.70	0.13	2.26	5.40	5.20	8.10	0.63	1.08	0.063	70.10	20.00	09.00
399	0-15 cm E	0.59	0.28	0.99	0.89	0.13	2.46	5.60	7.20	6.10	0.81	1.39	0.081	78.10	10.00	11.90
400	15-30 cm E	0.46	0.20	0.89	0.78	0.13	2.46	5.40	6.00	5.20	0.78	1.34	0.078	70.00	21.00	09.00
401	0-15 cm F	0.63	0.22	1.08	0.91	0.12	2.96	5.70	6.90	5.80	0.76	1.31	0.076	76.00	11.90	12.10
402	15-30 cm F	0.58	0.15	0.89	0.76	0.13	2.51	5.40	4.80	7.00	0.77	1.20	0.070	66.10	25.00	8.90
403																

)5)6	Table 2: Pos	t- Physic	al And (Chemical	Analysis	Of The	Soil										
)7			<	Cm	ol/kg	>											
8	Sample	pН	Na	k	Ca	Mg	$\mathrm{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	CEC A	Av.P Z	Zn	%	%	%	%	%	, D	%
9	Description	<u>(H₂O) C</u> 1	nol/kg (Cmol/kg (Cmol/kg	Cmol/kg		Μ	lg/kg M	g/kg	0.C	<u>O.M</u>	N	Sa	nd C	lay	Silt
	00-15cm A	5.70	0.38	0.50	1.12	0.68	0.085	2.765	6.90) 5	5.90	1.16	2.00	0.116	71.00	09.00	20.0
	15-30cm A	5.50	0.43	0.56	1.17	1.02	0.095	3.275	7.10) 6	5.90	0.93	1.60	0.093	72.00	07.00	21.
	00-15cm B	5.60	0.41	0.53	1.20	1.10	0.090	3.330	6.40) 6	5.70	1.10	1.90	0.110	69.00	10.00	21.
	15-30cm B	5.70	0.45	0.57	1.37	1.14	0.100	3.630	7.50) 8	8.70	0.80	1.38	0.080	73.00	07.00	20.
	00-15cm C	5.60	0.39	0.49	1.40	1.03	0.080	3.390	6.50) 5	5.90	1.14	1.97	0.114	64.00	08.00	24.
	15-30cm C	5.40	0.35	0.53	1.44	1.13	0.098	3.548	7.80) 6	5.90	0.69	1.19	0.069	68.00	10.00	26.
	0-15cm D	5.30	0.40	0.44	1.10	1.12	0.085	3.145	6.90) 7	.80	1.12	1.93	0.112	70.00	18.20	11.
	15-30cm D	5.60	0.43	0.58	1.14	1.27	0.105	3.525	8.20) 8	8.90	0.60	1.03	0.060	75.00	13.50	11.
	0-15cm E	5.40	0.39	0.43	1.07	1.06	0.090	3.040	8.50) 7	.10	1.17	2.02	0.117	70.20	19.00	10.
	15-30cm E	5.60	0.34	0.53	1.28	1.17	0.095	3.415	9.50) 1	0.2	0.66	1.14	0.066	76.10	15.00	08.
	0-15cm F	5.75	0.47	0.46	1.08	1.00	0.083	3.093	6.90) 8	8.90	1.12	1.93	0.112	68.00	10.00	22.
	15-30cm F	5.50	0.51	0.49	1.19	1.14	0.100	3.430	7.10) 7	.60	0.63	1.09	0.063	72.00	07.00	21.
				\searrow													

Treatment	Plant height	
$N_1C_1P_1Z_1$	48.24 ^a	
$N_2C_1P_1Z_1$	27.46^{hij}	
$N_3C_1P_1Z_1$	38.67 ^{bcdef}	
$N_1C_2P_1Z_1$	40.85 ^{abcde}	
$N_2C_2P_1Z_1$	36.23 ^{cdefgh}	
$N_3C_2P_1Z_1$	34.78 ^{cdefghi}	
$N_1C_1P_2Z_1$	33.89 ^{cdefghij}	V
$N_2C_1P_2Z_1$	30.59 ^{fghij}	- and
$N_3C_1P_2Z_1$	28.93 ^{ghij}	P
$N_1C_1P_1Z_2$	35.23 ^{cdefghi}	
$N_2C_1P_1Z_2$	37.25 ^{bcdefg}	∖
$N_3C_1P_1Z_2$	32.50 ^{efghij}	
$N_1C_2P_2Z_1$	33.06 ^{defghij}	
$N_2C_2P_2Z_1$	35.31 ^{cdefghi}	
$N_3C_2P_2Z_1$	44.84 ^{ab}	
$N_1C_2P_2Z_2$	41.81 ^{abcd}	
$N_2C_2P_2Z_2$	33.42 ^{defghij}	
$N_3C_2P_2Z_2$	34.97 ^{cdefghi}	
$N_1C_1P_2Z_2$	31.10 ^{fghij}	
$N_2C_1P_2Z_2$	45.30 ^{ab}	
$N_3C_1P_2Z_2$	31.88 ^{efghij}	
$N_1C_2P_1Z_2$	35.26 ¹	
$N_2C_2P_1Z_2$	45.81 ^{ab}	
$N_3C_2P_1Z_2$	48.81 ^a	
$N_0C_0P_0Z_0$	36.07 ^{cdefgh}	

Table 3: The influence of the application of the combination of varying levels of Neem,
 423

460		
461	Treatment	Leaf area
462		
463	$N_1C_1P_1Z_1$	350.28 ^{abcd}
464	$N_2C_1P_1Z_1$	199.56 ⁱ
465	$N_3C_1P_1Z_1$	367.66 ^{abcd}
466	$N_1C_2P_1Z_1$	384.52 ^{ab}
467	$N_2C_2P_1Z_1$	311.79 ^{abcdefg}
468	$N_3C_2P_1Z_1$	346.62 ^{abcde}
469	$N_1C_1P_2Z_1$	243.82 ^{defghi}
470	$N_2C_1P_2Z_1$	233.61 ^{efghi}
471	$N_3C_1P_2Z_1$	211.41 ^{fghi}
472	$N_1C_1P_1Z_2$	323.47 ^{abcdef}
473	$N_2C_1P_1Z_2$	366.29 ^{abc}
474	$N_3C_1P_1Z_2$	297.93 ^{abcdefgh}
475	$N_1C_2P_2Z_1$	303.96 ^{abcdefg}
476	$N_2C_2P_2Z_1$	309.44 ^{abcdefg}
477	$N_3C_2P_2Z_1$	337.32 ^{abcde}
478	$N_1C_2P_2Z_2$	405.32 ^a
479	$N_2C_2P_2Z_2$	280.20 ^{bcedfgh}
480	$N_3C_2P_2Z_2$	301.33 ^{abcdefgh}
481	$N_1C_1P_2Z_2$	243.79 ^{defghi}
482	$N_2C_1P_2Z_2$	403.55 ^a
483	$N_3C_1P_2Z_2$	278.92 ^{bcdefghi}
484	$N_1C_2P_1Z_2$	190.80 ^{hi}
485	$N_2C_2P_1Z_2$	347.22 ^{abcde}
486	$N_3C_2P_1Z_2$	384.42 ^{ab}
487	$N_0C_0P_0Z_0$	309.75 ^{abcdef}
488		¥
489		
490		
491		

Table 4: The influence of the application of the combination of varying levels of Neem,

459 Cypermethrin, Poultry manure and NPK Fertilizer on the leaf area of okra

Treatment	Number of leaves	
$\overline{N_1C_1P_1Z_1}$	10.33 ^{abcdefg}	
$N_2C_1P_1Z_1$	$8.17^{ m ghijk}$	
$N_3C_1P_1Z_1$	10.42^{abcdef}	
$N_1C_2P_1Z_1$	12.33 ^a	
$N_2C_2P_1Z_1$	9.33 ^{cdefghij}	
$N_3C_2P_1Z_1$	9.33 ^{cdefghij}	
$N_1C_1P_2Z_1$	8.42^{fghijk}	
$N_2C_1P_2Z_1$	7.92^{hijk}	
$N_3C_1P_2Z_1$	10.42^{abcdef}	
$N_1C_1P_1Z_2$	10.83 ^{abcde}	
$N_2C_1P_1Z_2$	$10.08^{bcdefgh}$	
$N_3C_1P_1Z_2$	8.92 ^{efghijk}	
$N_1C_2P_2Z_1$	9.50 ^{cdefghij}	$\sim \times$
$N_2C_2P_2Z_1$	9.40 ^{cdefghij}	
$N_3C_2P_2Z_1$	11.42^{abc}	\cap \checkmark
$N_1C_2P_2Z_2$	9.00 ^{efghijk}	
$N_2C_2P_2Z_2$	8.42 ^{fghijk}	
$N_3C_2P_2Z_2$	7.92 ^{hijk}	
$N_1C_1P_2Z_2$	11.33 ^{abcd}	
$N_2C_1P_2Z_2$	7.75 ^{ijk}	
$N_3C_1P_2Z_2$	7.08 ^k	
$N_1C_2P_1Z_2$	10.75 ^{abcde}	
$N_2C_2P_1Z_2$	11.67 ^{ab}	
$N_3C_2P_1Z_2$	9.92 ^{bcdefghi}	
$N_0C_0P_0Z_0$	9.08 ^{abcdef}	

492 **Table 5:** The influence of the application of the combination of varying levels of Neem,

493 Cypermethrin, Poultry manure and NPK Fertilizer on the number of leaves of okra.

Table 6: The influence of the application of the combination of varying levels of Neem,
Cypermethrin, Poultry manure and NPK Fertilizer on the number of damaged leaves of
okra.

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 7.42^{bcdefghi} & 7.42^{bcdefghi} \\ {}_{3}C_{2}P_{1}Z_{1} & 7.17^{cdefghi} \\ {}_{1}C_{1}P_{2}Z_{1} & 7.33^{bcdefghi} \\ {}_{2}C_{1}P_{2}Z_{1} & 7.00^{defghi} \\ {}_{3}C_{1}P_{2}Z_{1} & 6.92^{efghi} \\ {}_{1}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{2} & 9.75^{ab} \\ {}_{2}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{2} & 9.17^{abcdef} \\ {}_{3}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{2} & 8.83^{abcdefg} \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & 7.17^{cdefghi} \\ {}_{3}C_{2}P_{1}Z_{1} & 7.33^{bcdefghi} \\ {}_{1}C_{1}P_{2}Z_{1} & 7.00^{defghi} \\ {}_{2}C_{1}P_{2}Z_{1} & 6.92^{efghi} \\ {}_{3}C_{1}P_{2}Z_{1} & 6.92^{efghi} \\ {}_{1}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{2} & 9.75^{ab} \\ {}_{2}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{2} & 9.17^{abcdef} \\ {}_{3}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{2} & 8.83^{abcdefg} \end{array}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccc} 2C_{1}P_{2}Z_{1} & 7.00^{defghi} \\ {}_{3}C_{1}P_{2}Z_{1} & 6.92^{efghi} \\ {}_{1}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{2} & 9.75^{ab} \\ {}_{2}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{2} & 9.17^{abcdef} \\ {}_{3}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{2} & 8.83^{abcdefg} \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cccc} {}_{3}C_{1}P_{2}Z_{1} & & 6.92^{efghi} \\ {}_{1}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{2} & & 9.75^{ab} \\ {}_{2}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{2} & & 9.17^{abcdef} \\ {}_{3}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{2} & & 8.83^{abcdefg} \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & & & \\ {}_{1}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{2} & & & & \\ {}_{2}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{2} & & & & \\ {}_{3}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{2} & & & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & & & & \\ 9.75^{ab} \\ & & & & \\ 9.75^{ab} \\ & & & \\ 9.75^{ab} \\ & & & \\ 9.75^{ab} \\ & & & \\ 8.83^{abcdefg} \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ccc} 2C_1P_1Z_2 & 9.17^{abcdef} \\ _3C_1P_1Z_2 & 8.83^{abcdefg} \end{array}$
₃ C ₁ P ₁ Z ₂ 8.83 ^{abcdefg}
$_{1}C_{2}P_{2}Z_{1}$ 6.67 ^{fghi}
$_{2}C_{2}P_{2}Z_{1}$ 7.25 ^{bcdefghi}
$_{3}C_{2}P_{2}Z_{1}$ $8.17^{abcdefghi}$
₁ C ₂ P ₂ Z ₂ 9.50 ^{abcd}
$_2C_2P_2Z_2$ 6.75 ^{fghi}
$_{3}C_{2}P_{2}Z_{2}$ 7.00 ^{defghi}
$_{1}C_{1}P_{2}Z_{2}$ 5.83 ⁱ
$_2C_1P_2Z_2$ 8.83 ^{abcdefg}
$_{3}C_{1}P_{2}Z_{2}$ 7.17 ^{cdefghi}
$_{1}C_{2}P_{1}Z_{2}$ 6.33 ^{ghi}
$_{2}C_{2}P_{1}Z_{2}$ $8.25^{abcdefghi}$
$_{3}C_{2}P_{1}Z_{2}$ 9.42 ^{abcde}
$_0C_0P_0Z_0$ 9.58 ^{abc}

$\frac{1}{N_1C_1P_1Z_1}$
$N_2C_1P_1Z_1$ 1.67 ⁱ
$N_{3}C_{1}P_{1}Z_{1}$ 7.00 ^{cdefg}
$N_1C_2P_1Z_1$ 2.17 ^{hi}
$N_2C_2P_1Z_1$ 3.00 ^{hi}
$N_3C_2P_1Z_1$ 2.67 ^{hi}
$N_1C_1P_2Z_1$ 3.17 ^{hi}
$N_2C_1P_2Z_1$ 2.50 ^{hi}
$N_{3}C_{1}P_{2}Z_{1}$ 3.83 ^{fghi}
$N_1C_1P_1Z_2$ 4.92 ^{defghi}
$N_2C_1P_1Z_2$ 5.00 ^{defghi}
$N_3C_1P_1Z_2$ 5.50 ^{defgh}
$N_1C_2P_2Z_1$ 1.67 ⁱ
$N_2C_2P_2Z_1$ 2.08 ^{hi}
$N_3C_2P_2Z_1$ 3.08 ^{hi}
$N_1C_2P_2Z_2$ 4.00^{efghi}
$N_2C_2P_2Z_2$ 2.08 ^{hi}
$N_3C_2P_2Z_2$ 2.50 ^{hi}
$N_1C_1P_2Z_2$ 2.58 ^{hi}
$N_2C_1P_2Z_2$ 3.25^{hi}
$N_3C_1P_2Z_2$ 5.75 ^{defgh}
$N_1C_2P_1Z_2$ 3.00^{hi}
$N_2C_2P_1Z_2$ 3.50^{ghi}
$N_3C_2P_1Z_2$ 2.00 ^{hi}
$N_0C_0P_0Z_0$ 13.67 ^a

Table 7: The influence of the application of the combination of varying levels of Neem,

563 Cypermethrin, Poultry manure and NPK Fertilizer on the pest population of okra.

Treatment	Number of fruits
$\overline{N_1C_1P_1Z_1}$	3.08 ^{abcd}
$N_2C_1P_1Z_1$	1.50^{bcd}
$N_3C_1P_1Z_1$	2.33 ^{bcd}
$N_1C_2P_1Z_1$	2.92^{abcd}
$N_2C_2P_1Z_1$	1.83 ^{bcd}
$N_3C_2P_1Z_1$	0.83 ^{cd}
$N_1C_1P_2Z_1$	1.42^{bcd}
$N_2C_1P_2Z_1$	1.33 ^{bcd}
$N_3C_1P_2Z_1$	2.50 ^{bcd}
$N_1C_1P_1Z_2$	1.83 ^{bcd}
$N_2C_1P_1Z_2$	1.92 ^{bcd}
$N_3C_1P_1Z_2$	1.42 ^{bcd}
$N_1C_2P_2Z_1$	0.58 ^{cd}
$N_2C_2P_2Z_1$	0.50 ^d
$N_3C_2P_2Z_1$	1.17 ^{bcd}
$N_1C_2P_2Z_2$	2.75 ^{bcd}
$N_2C_2P_2Z_2$	1.42 ^{bcd}
$N_3C_2P_2Z_2$	1.08 ^{bcd}
$N_1C_1P_2Z_2$	0.83 ^{cd}
$N_2C_1P_2Z_2$	3.42^{abc}
$N_3C_1P_2Z_2$	0.42^{d}
$N_1C_2P_1Z_2$	0.58 ^{cd}
$N_2C_2P_1Z_2$	5.33 ^a
$N_3C_2P_1Z_2$	3.75 ^{ab}
$N_0C_0P_0Z_0$	1.42 ^b

Table 8: The influence of the application of the combination of varying levels of Neem, Cypermethrin Poultry manure and NPK Fertilizer on the number of fruits of okra

Treatment	
	Weight of fruit
$N_1C_1P_1Z_1$	12.88 ^{bc}
$N_2C_1P_1Z_1$	14.78 ^{bc}
$N_3C_1P_1Z_1$	19.48 ^{bc}
$N_1C_2P_1Z_1$	33.34 ^{bc}
$N_2C_2P_1Z_1$	24.40 ^{bc}
$N_3C_2P_1Z_1$	7.17 ^c
$N_1C_1P_2Z_1$	15.21 ^{bc}
$N_2C_1P_2Z_1$	12.27 ^{bc}
$N_3C_1P_2Z_1$	13.34 ^{bc}
$N_1C_1P_1Z_2$	18.91 ^{bc}
$N_2C_1P_1Z_2$	18.73 ^{bc}
$N_3C_1P_1Z_2$	16.48 ^{bc}
$N_1C_2P_2Z_1$	4.65 ^c
$N_2C_2P_2Z_1$	3.43 ^c
$N_3C_2P_2Z_1$	6.78 ^c
$N_1C_2P_2Z_2$	29.22 ^{bc}
$N_2C_2P_2Z_2$	9.98 ^c
$N_3C_2P_2Z_2$	11.61°
$N_1C_1P_2Z_2$	7.32°
$N_2C_1P_2Z_2$	32.59 ^{bc}
$N_3C_1P_2Z_2$	5.93°
$N_1C_2P_1Z_2$	4.69 ^c
$N_2C_2P_1Z_2$	68.66 ^a
$N_3C_2P_1Z_2$	41.05 ^b
$N_0C_0P_0Z_0$	14.03 ^{bc}
KEY	
N.C.P.Z. Combination	of 100% Neem concentration, 0.018 mls Cypermethrin, 400
Poultry manure and	
Poultry manure and $N_2C_1P_1Z_1$ Combination	of 75% Neem concentration. 0.018 mls Cypermethrin 400
$N_2C_1P_1Z_1$ _ Combination	of 75% Neem concentration, 0.018 mls Cypermethrin, 400 6 g N P K Fertilizer
$N_2C_1P_1Z_1$ _ Combination Poultry manure and	of 75% Neem concentration, 0.018 mls Cypermethrin, 400 6 g N P K Fertilizer of 50% Neem concentration, 0.018 mls Cypermethrin, 400

Table 9: The influence of the application of the combination of varying levels of Neem, Cypermethrin. Poultry manure and NPK Fertilizer on the weight of fruits of okra.

668 $N_1C_2P_1Z_1$ _ Combination of 100% Neem concentration, 0.025 mls cypermethrin, 400g 669 Poultry manure and 6 g N P K Fertilizer 670 $N_2C_2P_1Z_1$ _ Combination of 75% Neem concentration, 0.025 mls Cypermethrin, 400g 671 Poultry manure and 6 g N P K Fertilizer 672 N₃C₂P₁Z₁ _ Combination of 50% Neem concentration, 0.025 mls Cypermethrin, 400g 673 Poultry manure and 6 g N P K Fertilizer N₁C₁P₂Z₁ _ Combination of 100% Neem concentration, 0.018 mls Cypermethrin, 600g 674 675 Poultry manure and 6 g N P K Fertilizer 676 $N_2C_1P_2Z_1$ _ Combination of 75% Neem concentration, 0.018 mls Cypermethrin, 600g 677 Poultry manure and 6 g N P K Fertilizer 678 $N_3C_1P_2Z_1$ _ Combination of 50% Neem concentration, 0.018 mls Cypermethrin, 600g 679 Poultry manure and 6 g N P K Fertilizer 680 $N_1C_1P_1Z_2$ _ Combination of 100% Neem concentration, 0.018 mls Cypermethrin, 400g Poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer 681 N₂C₁P₁Z₂ _ Combination of 75% Neem concentration, 0.018 mls Cypermethrin, 400g 682 683 Poultry 684 manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer $N_3C_1P_1Z_2$ _ Combination of 50% Neem concentration, 0.018 mls Cypermethrin, 400g 685 Poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer 686 687 $N_1C_2P_2Z_1$ _ Combination of 100% Neem concentration, 0.025 mls Cypermethrin, 600g 688 Poultry manure and 6 g N P K Fertilizer 689 $N_2C_2P_2Z_1$ _ Combination of 75% Neem concentration, 0.025 mls Cypermethrin, 600g Poultry manure and 6 g N P K Fertilizer 690 N₃C₂P₂Z₁ Combination of 50% Neem concentration, 0.025 mls Cypermethrin, 600g 691 692 Poultry manure and 6 g N P K Fertilizer 693 $N_1C_2P_2Z_2$ _ Combination of 100% Neem concentration, 0.025 mls Cypermethrin, 600g 694 Poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer 695 $N_2C_2P_2Z_2$ _ combination of 75% neem concentration, 0.025 mls Cypermethrin, 600g 696 Poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer 697 $N_3C_2P_2Z_2$ _ combination of 75% neem concentration, 0.025 mls Cypermethrin, 600g 698 Poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer N₁C₁P₂Z₂ _ Combination of 100% Neem concentration, 0.018 mls Cypermethrin, 600g 699 700 Poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer

- $N_2C_1P_2Z_2$ _ Combination of 75% Neem concentration, 0.018 mls cypermethrin, 600g702Poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer
- $N_3C_1P_2Z_2$ _ Combination of 50% Neem concentration, 0.018 mls Cypermethrin, 600g
- 704 Poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer
- N₁C₂P₁Z₂ _ Combination of 100% Neem concentration, 0.025 mls Cypermethrin, 400g
 Poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer
- $N_2C_2P_1Z_2$ _ Combination of 75% Neem concentration, 0.025 mls Cypermethrin, 400g708Poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer
- $N_3C_2P_1Z_2$ _ Combination of 50% Neem concentration, 0.025 mls cypermethrin, 400g
- 710 Poultry manure and 9 g N P K Fertilizer
- $N_0C_0P_0Z_0$ _ Control